P.R. No. 3076/05 Pandey
Presented by Shri Vinay
dated 23/12/25

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Second Appeal No. 538 /2005

Single Bench

APPELLANT : Ramesh Kumar Sahu,
Appellant/ Plaintiff S/o Shri Sadaram Sahu,
R/o Village- Kamkabada,
Tahsil – Saja,
District – Durg (C.G.)

- Versus –

RESPONDENTS : 1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Respondents/ Defendants Through- Collector,
Durg.

2. Additional Chief Executive Officer,
Zila Panchayat, Durg,
Office- Zila Panchayat, Durg.

3. Chief Executive Officer,
Janpad Panchyat Saja,
District- Durg.

4. Sarpanch,
Village Panchayat Kamkabada,
Tahsil- Saja, District- Durg.

5. Shri Naresh Kumar Nagvanshi,
Village- Kamkabada, State of
M. P. (Now State of C.G.)
Through Collector, Sarguja (C.G.).

SECOND APPEAL UNDER SECTION 100 OF THE CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE, 1908.

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

15

मामला क्रमांक S.A. No. 538/... **सन् 2005**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	15.01.2007 Appellant by Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate. State by Shri Akhil Agrawal, P.L. Heard on admission. The appellant is aggrieved by the judgment and decree dated 13.09.2005 passed by the District Judge, Durg in Civil Appeal No. 25-A of 2003 whereby the judgment and decree passed in Civil Suit No. 19-A of 2002 dated 23.06.2003 dismissing the plaintiff's suit was affirmed. It is not disputed that the appointment of the plaintiff/appellant was purely temporary in nature and his services could be terminated at any time without prior notice. It is also not in dispute that the order of termination dated 29.03.1999 was an order of removal simpliciter without attaching any stigma. In this view of the matter, both the Courts below have rightly held that prior notice or hearing was not necessary. I am of the considered opinion that the impugned judgment passed in Civil Appeal No. 25-A of 2003 is impeccable and no substantial question of law arises for determination in this appeal. This appeal being devoid of merit is dismissed at the stage of admission. No order as to costs. **Sd-/** **Dilip Raosaheb Deshmukh** **Judge**	

P.T.O.